UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,365	06/17/2008	James Bain	BAI-01/SYN.3282.US	3199
	7590 03/30/201 LUNDEEN, PLLC	0	EXAMINER	
2710 Louisiana			WRIGHT, GIOVANNA COLLINS	
HOUSTON, TX 77006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ekonokat@aol.com marcee@lpats.com dan@lpats.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/598,365	BAIN, JAMES
Examiner	Art Unit
GIOVANNA C. WRIGHT	3672

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	Total Box (b) When the Finds the El Who Field William two
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thremay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee d statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance v	vith 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior	r to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further considerat	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	
(d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a correspo	onding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SI 13. Other:	B/08) Paper No(s)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	/Giovanna C. Wright/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Referring to the limitation of the shoe for use on the end of a work string after drilling, a recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus which differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claim. In re Pearson, 494 F.2d 1399, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974); In re Yanush, 477 F.2d 958, 177 USPQ 705 (CCPA 1973); In re Finsterwalder, 436 F.2d 1028, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1963); Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BdPatApp & Inter 1987).

2